

DANIEL G. BOGDEN  
United States Attorney  
AMBER M. CRAIG  
Assistant United States Attorney  
333 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 5000  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
PHONE: (702) 388-6336  
FAX: (702) 388-6698

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

**-oOo-**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HECTOR FERNANDO ZAMBRANO-  
REYES,

Defendant.

**2:14-cr-103-GMN-VCF**

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE  
GOVERNMENT'S DEADLINE TO  
RESPOND TO MOTION FOR RELIEF  
FROM PREJUDICIAL JOINDER  
(Fifth Request)

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Daniel G. Bogden, United States Attorney, and Amber M. Craig, Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for the United States of America, and Osvaldo Fumo, Esq., counsel for Defendant Hector Zambrano-Reyes, that the Government's deadline to respond to the Defendant's Motion for Relief from Prejudicial Joinder, currently set for May 20, 2015, be vacated and continued for thirty days, or to a date to be set at the Court's convenience.

This stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

1. The parties are engaged in plea negotiations which may resolve the case without the need to litigate the motion, and additional time is needed for the negotiations.
2. The Defendant is in custody and does not object to the continuance.
3. For the reasons stated above, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the response deadline.



**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

**-oOo-**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HECTOR FERNANDO ZAMBRANO-  
REYES,

Defendant.

**2:14-cr-103-GMN-VCF**

**FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS  
OF LAW, AND ORDER**

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

Based upon the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

1. The parties are engaged in plea negotiations which may resolve the case without the need to litigate the motion, and additional time is needed for the negotiations.
2. The Defendant is in custody and does not object to the continuance.
3. For the reasons stated above, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the response deadline.
4. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.
5. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(3)(A) and (h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (h)(7)(B)(iv).

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the


1 public and the Defendant in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely  
2 to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity  
3 within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into account the  
4 exercise of due diligence.

5 The continuance sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United  
6 States Code, Sections 3161(h)(1)(A), (h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (h)(7)(B)(iv).

7 **ORDER**

8 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Government's deadline to file its response to  
9 Defendant's Motion for Relief from Prejudicial Joinder, currently scheduled for May 20, 2015, be  
10 vacated and continued to the 22nd day of June, 2015.

11 ] DATED this 20th day of May, 2015.

12  
13   
14 \_\_\_\_\_  
15 Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge  
16 United States District Court  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24